

ASSESSING THE POTENTIAL EFFECTS OF CLIMATE CHANGE ON KISATCHIE NATIONAL FOREST



Forestlands across the region are experiencing increased threats from fire, insect and plant invasions, disease, extreme weather, and drought. Scientists project increases in temperature and changes in rainfall patterns that can make these threats occur more often, with more intensity, and/or for longer durations. Although many of the effects of future changes are negative, natural resource management can help mitigate these impacts. Responses informed by the best current science enable natural resource professionals within the Forest Service to better protect the land and resources and conserve the region's forestlands into the future.

Forest Health - Invasive and aggressive plant and insect species may increasingly outcompete or negatively affect native species in the future. Higher temperatures will likely allow these species to increase. Destructive insects, such as southern pine beetles, will be better able to take advantage of forests due to factors such as increased drought. Certain invasive plant species found in this forest, including kudzu, are expected to increase dramatically as they are able to tolerate a wide range of harsh conditions, allowing them to rapidly move into new areas.

Response: Manage forest through various vegetation treatment methods such as thinning, restoration, etc. Fire is used for release of longleaf and used to reduce fuel loads to offset wildfire potential.

Response: Continually monitor for new invasive species moving into areas where they were not traditionally found, especially following events such as and fire.

Plant Communities - Heat stress may limit the growth of some southern pines and hardwood species. Stresses from drought, overall increased temperatures, and wide-scale pest outbreaks have the potential to cause large areas of forest dieback. Intensified extreme weather events, such as ice storms, and fire, are also expected to lead to changes in plant community composition. Species more resistant to these disturbances, such as longleaf pine, will be more resilient to a changing climate. Plant populations that require moisture-rich soils and may decline due to increases in droughts.

Response: Focus restoration efforts in forests, such as longleaf pine as well as sweetgum or red oak hardwood, and promote the planting of longleaf pines over loblolly pine where feasible.

Response: Include a range of ages and species in forests to lessen potential loss from drought or infestation

Animal Communities - Wildlife species will be affected in different ways. Amphibians may be most at risk, due to dependencies on moisture and cool temperatures that could be altered. Avian species, such as the federally listed endangered red cockaded woodpeckers, may see a decrease in population as vegetation types change and heat stress makes their food sources more difficult to come by. Alternatively, mammals such as deer may increase due to higher survival rates during warmer winters.

Response: Maintain piles of natural woody debris in areas of high amphibian diversity to supplement habitats that retain cool, moist



Kudzu



Green pitcher plant



Red-Cockaded Woodpecker

conditions.

Response: Create habitat corridors; assist in species movement; increase National Forest management unit sizes; and identify high-value conservation lands adjacent to National Forests.

Extreme Weather - The potential for severe storms is expected to increase in the future. Extended periods of extreme high temperature and drought may lead to drier forest fuels which will burn more easily and contribute to larger and more frequent wildfires. More cloud-to-ground lightning due to warming may also increase wildfire ignitions.

Response: Identify areas that provide particularly valuable ecosystem services, like timber harvest or carbon sequestration, and are also vulnerable to extreme weather, like storms and fires. Then plan conservation strategies accordingly to mitigate for extreme weather impacts and payment for ecosystem service programs.

Response: Prescribed burning can also be a management option for reducing the impacts of any future increases in wildfire potential emanating from climate change.

Water Resources - Shifts in rainfall patterns will lead to periods of flooding and drought that can significantly impact water resources. Increases in heavy downpours and more intense storms can lead to greater erosion and more sedimentation in waterways. Increased periods of drought may lead to poor water quality, more variable stream flows, and loss of quality aquatic habitat.

Response: Focus attention on and near smaller, isolated water systems that are more vulnerable and may not be able to absorb and benefit from wildfires and heavy rains that cause large floods or debris flow.

Response: Relieve groundwater and large reservoir use when there is ample surface water during wet periods or times of high water flow to recharge aquifers, provide temporary irrigation, decrease stored sediment loss, and construct small reservoirs.

Response: Restore and reinforce vegetation in headwater and areas to help alleviate runoff of sediment during heavy rain; reduce climate-induced warming of water; and decrease water sensitivity to changes in air temperature.

Recreation - Environmental changes may negatively impact recreational experiences due to changes in the plant and animal communities that make those experiences unique. More days above freezing could increase tick and mosquito populations throughout the year, leading to an increase in vector-borne illness. With more days of extreme heat, recreation areas could see decreased use in the summer if temperatures impact visitor comfort.

Response: Communicate early warnings for extreme weather to protect vulnerable groups from health impacts, such as heat illnesses, and monitor for early outbreaks of disease.



Catahoula District



Kisatchie Forest



Kisatchie Forestry Center

CLIMATE CHANGE AND YOUR NATIONAL FOREST: CITATIONS

Information in this factsheet is summarized from 54 peer-reviewed science papers found in the USDA Forest Service's **TACCIMO** tool. TACCIMO (the Template for Assessing Climate Change Impacts and Management Options) is a web-based application integrating climate change science with management and planning options through search and reporting tools that connect land managers with peer-reviewed information they can trust. For more information and the latest science about managing healthy forests for the future visit the TACCIMO tool online: www.forestthreats.org/taccimotool



Forest Health

- Duehl, A. J., Koch, F. H., & Hain, F. P. (2011). Southern pine beetle regional outbreaks modeled on landscape, climate and infestation history. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 261(3), 473–479. doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2010.10.032
- Duerr, D. A., Mistretta, P. A. Invasive Pests – Insects and Diseases (2013) In, Wear, D. N., Greis, J. G., eds. The Southern Forest Futures Project. General Technical Report SRS-GTR-178. Asheville, NC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Research Station.
- Dukes, J. S., Pontius, J., Orwig, D., Garnas, J. R., Rodgers, V. L., Brazee, N., ... Stange, E. E. (2008). Responses of insect pests, pathogens, and invasive plant species to climate change in the forests of Northeastern North America: What can we predict?. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research*, 39(2), 231–248.
- Funk, J. L., Cleland, E. E., Suding, K. N., & Zavaleta, E. S. (2008). Restoration through reassembly: plant traits and invasion resistance. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 23(12), 695–703. doi:10.1016/j.tree.2008.07.013
- Gan, J. (2004). Risk and damage of southern pine beetle outbreaks under global climate change. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 191, 61–71. doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2003.11.001
- Hansen, A. J., Neilson, R. P., Dale, V. H., Flather, C. H., Iverson, L. R., Currie, D. J., ... Bartlein, P. J. (2001). Global change in forests: Responses of species, communities, and biomes. *BioScience*, 51, 765–779.
- Hellmann, J. J., Byers, J. E., Bierwagen, B. G., & Dukes, J. S. (2008). Five potential consequences of climate change for invasive species. *Conservation Biology*, 22(3), 534–543.
- Jianbang, G. (2004). Risk and damage of southern pine beetle outbreaks under global climate change. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 191, 61–71.
- Miller, J. H., Lemke, D., Couston, J. The Invasion of Southern Forests by Nonnative Plants: Current and Future Occupation, with Impacts, Management Strategies, and Mitigation Approaches (2013) In, Wear, D. N., Greis, J. G., eds. The Southern Forest Futures Project. General Technical Report SRS-GTR-178. Asheville, NC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Research Station.
- Sasek, T. W., & Strain, B. R. (1990). Implications of atmospheric CO₂ enrichment and climatic change for the geographical distribution of two introduced vines in the USA. *Climatic Change*, 16(1), 31–51.
- ine physiological controls of biotic boundaries and potential responses to environmental change. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 13(3), 247–258. doi:10.1111/j.1466-822X.2004.00088.x
- National Park Service. Green pitcher plant: endangered species. (2015) <http://www.nps.gov/liri/learn/nature/green-pitcher-plant-endangered-species.htm>
- USDA Forest Service. Threatened, endangered, and proposed plant profile: large-flowered skullcap. http://www.fs.fed.us/wildflowers/Rare_Plants/profiles/TEP/scutellaria_montana/index.shtml
- Walther, G. –R. (2003). Plants in a warmer world. *Perspectives in Plant Ecology, Evolution and Systematics*, 6/3, 169 – 185.

Animal Communities

- Ayres, M. P. & Lombardero, M. J. (2000). Assessing the consequences of global change for forest disturbance from herbivores and pathogens. *The Science of the Total Environment*, 262, 263–286.
- Blaustein, A. R., Walls, S. C., Bancroft, B. A., Lawler, J. J., Searle, C. L., & Gervasi, S. S. (2010). Direct and indirect effects of climate change on amphibian populations. *Diversity*, 2(2), 281–313. doi:10.3390/d2020281
- Emanuel, K. (2005). Increasing destructiveness of tropical cyclones over the past 30 years. *Nature*, 436, 686–688. doi: 10.1038/nature03906
- Corn, P. S. (2005). Climate change and amphibians. *Animal Biodiversity and Conservation*, 28, (1), 59 – 67.
- Currie, D. J. (2001). Projected Effects of Climate Change on Patterns of Vertebrate and Tree Species Richness in the Conterminous United States. *Ecosystems*, 4, 216–225. doi: 10.1007/s10021-001-0005-4
- Shoo, L. P., Olson, D. H., McMenamin, S. K. Murray, K. A. Van Sluys, M., Herbert, S. M., Bishopm, P. J., ... & Hero, J. –M. (2011). Engineering a future for amphibians under climate change. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 48, 487–492. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2664.2010.01942.x
- Torti, V. M. & Dunn, P. O. (2005). Variable effects of climate change on six species of North American birds. *Oecologia*, 145, 486 – 495.

Extreme Weather

- Bragg, D. C., Shelton, M. G., & Zeide, B. (2003). Impacts and forest management implications of ice storms in forests in the southern United States. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 186, 99–123.
- Flannigan, M. D., Stocks, B. J., & Wotton, B. M. (2000). Climate change and forest fires. *Science of the Total Environment*, 262, 221–229. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697\(00\)00524-6](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(00)00524-6)
- Heilman, W. E., Potter, B. E., & Zerbe, J. I. (1998). Regional climate change in the southern united states: The implications for wildfire occurrence. *Productivity & Sustainability of Southern Forest Ecosystems in a Changing Environment*, 1, 683–699.
- Knutson, T. R., McBride, J. L., Chan, J., Emanuel, K., Holland, G., Landsea, C., Held, I., Kossin, J. P., Srivastava, A. K., & Sugi, M. (2010). Tropical cyclones and climate change. *Nature Geosci-*

Plant Communities

- Allen, C. D., Macalady, A. K., Chenchouni, H., Bachelet, D., McDowell, N., Vennetier, M., & Cobb, N. (2010). A global overview of drought and heat-induced tree mortality reveals emerging climate change risks for forests. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 259(4), 660–684. doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2009.09.001
- Iverson, L. R., Prasad, A. M., Matthews, S. N., & Peters, M. (2008). Estimating potential habitat for 134 eastern US tree species under six climate scenarios. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 254, 390–406. doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2007.07.023
- Middleton, B. A. & McKee, K. L. (2004). Use of a latitudinal gradient in bald cypress (*Taxodium distichum*) production to exam-

- ence, 3(3), 157-163. doi:10.1038/ngeo779
- Liu, Y., Prestemon, J. P., Goodrick, S. L., Holmes, T. P., Stanturf, J. A., Vose, J. M., Sun, G. (2014) Future wildfire trends, impacts, and mitigation options in the Southern United States. In: Vose, J. M., Klepzig, K. D., eds. Climate change adaptation and mitigation management options: A guide for natural resource managers in southern forest ecosystems. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press. 85-126.
- Seneviratne, S. I., Nicholls, N., Easterling, D., Goodess, C.M., Kanae, S., Kossin, J., & Zhang, X. (2012). Changes in climate extremes and their impacts on the natural physical environment. In: Field, C.B et al. (Eds.), Managing the Risks of Extreme Events and Disasters to Advance Climate Change Adaptation. A Special Report of Working Groups I and II of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). Cambridge, UK, and New York, NY, USA: Cambridge University Press, 109-230.

Water Resources

- Carpenter, S. R., Fisher, S. G., Grimm, N. B., & Kitchell, J. F. (1992). Global change and freshwater ecosystems. Annual Review Ecological Systems, 119-139.
- Erwin, K. L. (2009). Wetlands and global climate change: the role of wetland restoration in a changing world. Wetlands Ecology and Management, 17(1), 71-84. doi:10.1007/s11273-008-9119-1
- Karl, T. R., Melillo, J. M., & Peterson, T. C. (2009). Global climate change impacts in the United States. New York, NY, USA: Cambridge University Press.
- Mulholland, P. J., Best, G. R., Coutant, C. C., Hornberger, G. M., Meyer, J. L., Robinson, P. J., Stenberg, J. R., ... & Wetzel, R. G. (1997). Effects of climate change on freshwater ecosystems of the south-eastern United States and the Gulf Coast of Mexico. Hydrological Processes, 11, 949-970. doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1099-1085(19970630)11:8<949::AID-HYP513>3.0.CO;2-G
- Seager, R., Tzanova, A., & Nakamura, J. (2009). Drought in the Southeastern United States: Causes, variability over the last millennium, and the potential for future hydroclimate change. American Meteorological Society, 22(19), 5021-5045.

Recreation

- Richardson, R. B., Loomis, J. B. (2004). Adaptive recreation planning and climate change: a contingent visitation approach. Ecological Economics, 50, 83-99. doi:10.1016/j.ecolecon.2004.02.010
- Scott, D., McBoyle, G., & Schwartzentruber, M. (2004). Climate change and the distribution of climatic resources for tourism in North America. Climate Research, 105-117.
- Galik, C. S. & Jackson, R. B. (2009). Risks to forest carbon offset projects in a changing climate. Forest Ecology and Management, 257(11), 2209-2216. doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2009.03.017